Analysis of cohesive cracking in saturated porous media using an extrinsically enriched EFG method
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A B S T R A C T

The subject of this study is to investigate propagation of cohesive cracks under various mixed mode conditions in saturated porous media. The mesh-free EFG algorithm, which uses the MLS shape functions for approximating both displacement and pressure fields, is used. An enrichment approach similar to XFEM, which exploits the Heaviside step function as the enrichment function for representing the crack surfaces is adopted. Basic formulations are developed for saturated fully coupled porous media, and a series of benchmark problems are assessed through the enriched EFG formulations. Both cases of uncoupled and fully coupled formulations for the solid displacements and the water pressure are presented. For the fully coupled case, the effect of mass exchange through the fracture and the surrounding undamaged media is examined. The results predicted by the present numerical framework are in good agreement with existing solutions in the literature.
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1. Introduction

Cohesive crack analysis has been the subject of extensive research topics in the past few years. It is well known that in quasi-brittle materials such as concrete and soil, instead of a highly singular stress field at the tip of fracture, the stress state can be better represented by a redistributed field in a finite region, known as the cohesive zone. Basic cohesive zone models have been introduced by Dugdale [1], Barenblatt [2] and Hillerborg et al. [3], in which a traction-separation law governs the mechanical load exchanging across the crack faces and the cohesive zone is lumped into a zero-thickness surface [4] or sometimes a finite width band [5]. These models have been extensively applied in the context of standard finite element solutions, where a conforming and highly refined mesh along the discontinuity lines is usually needed and re-meshing processes are inevitable [6].

Introduction of the extended finite element method by Belytschko and Black [7] and Moes et al. [8], based on the early contributions on the generalized finite element method [9,10] eased the re-meshing burden of the standard finite element solutions and proved to be a reliable method for modeling discrete fractures [11–18] and weak discontinuities [19–21].

Mechanics of porous-like materials were originated from the fundamental contributions by Terzaghi [22] and Biot [23], and has been the subject of vast number of studies which have resulted in the current generalized form of the governing equations based on different inherent properties of multi-phase porous systems [24–29]. Nevertheless, introduction of discontinuities in porous materials seems to be of great importance and complexity, as in different engineering applications, porous-like materials such as soil, concrete and rock are widely encountered to severe external loadings that may result in various forms of cracking and failure. Both singular and cohesive zone models have been adopted in the literature to govern the mechanical behavior of the defects in porous media. Boone and Ingraffea [30] analyzed the hydraulic fracture phenomena in fully saturated media by adopting a finite difference strategy for the water flow inside the fracture. An adaptive refinement technique for porous materials with cohesive cracks was introduced by Schrefler et al. [31] and a mathematical model for introducing cohesive fractures in porous materials was proposed in [32] using the finite element method with continuous re-meshing strategies along with the propagating fractures. Zero-thickness cohesive interface elements in the framework of the finite element method were applied to saturated porous material in Segura and Carol [33] and Lobao et al. [34]. An extension of cohesive fracture phenomena to dynamic situations was recently developed by Kheie et al. [35].

Based on the PU enrichment strategies of the basic finite element solution, Rethore et al. developed a two-scale algorithm applied to singular [36] and cohesive cracks [37]. In their works, water pressure was assumed continuous across the fracture width,
while due to the effect of fluid exchange between the fracture and surrounding porous media, normal gradients of water pressure were modeled as discontinuous fields with the help of distance enrichment functions. Extension of the same idea to three-phase and large deformation analysis were performed in [38,39], respectively.

Alternatively, mesh-free methods are powerful numerical tools that have been exploited widely along with the finite element method for solving general partial differential equations [40–46]. It is believed that the mesh-free methods can be superior to the finite element method in dealing with distorted meshes and general propagation problems [47,48]. Moreover, due to higher order of continuity of unknown variables, more precise and smooth stress fields are attainable [49].

Similarly, within the context of the isogeometric analysis (IGA) of poroelasticity problems, reference [50] illustrated that the higher order of continuity could validate the local mass balance unlike the usual FEM. As a result, it led to low oscillated results with even smaller time steps than the critical time step. This property eliminated the implementation of additional tip elements for cohesive fractures, where the state of crack propagation could mainly be defined by the values of stresses at the tip of fracture.

In this paper, the well-known element-free Galerkin (EFG) approach [51,52] is adopted for arbitrary modeling of cohesive crack propagation based on the extrinsic enrichment of the basic EFG solution [49,53–60]. Fully saturated porous media under external mechanical loadings within the small deformation regime are considered. Fractures are assumed to act as cohesive cracks with considerable permeabilities which allow for the water phase to flow across. Basic governing equations are discretized for a fully coupled saturated state using the enriched MLS shape functions and different coupled/uncoupled fracturing problems of mode I and mixed mode porous materials are addressed. In the case of permeable defect, the exchange of fluid flow across the crack width is considered based on the two-scale algorithm proposed in [37]. Through the various numerical tests on dry and saturated porous materials, it is shown that the results of the presented element-free Galerkin (XEGF) approach are in good agreement with the existing reference solutions.

2. Governing equations for fully coupled saturated porous media

In this section, the governing equations for a saturated porous medium, typically shown in Fig. 1, under the assumption of small deformation regime are presented. Convective terms are dropped out from the equilibrium equations and the formulations are developed for quasi-static situations with no inertia terms involved. Saturated porous media under the isothermal condition are assumed.

The momentum balance equation for a porous medium can be written as:

\[ \nabla \cdot \sigma + \rho \mathbf{b} = 0 \]  
(1)

where \( \mathbf{b} \) is the body force and \( \rho \) is the density of the system defined as,

\[ \rho = (1 - n)\rho_s + n\rho_w \]  
(2)

\( \rho_s \) and \( \rho_w \) are the density of solid and water phases and \( n \) denotes the porosity. The total stress vector \( \sigma \) can be written in terms of the effective stress vector \( \sigma^* \),

\[ \sigma = \sigma^* - \alpha n (p_w) \]  
(3)

and the constitutive relation is defined in terms of the effective stress and strain increments,

\[ d\sigma^* = D(d\varepsilon) \]  
(4)

where \( D \) is the elastic stiffness tensor and \( \alpha \) is the Biot’s constant,

\[ \alpha = 1 - \frac{K_f}{K_s} \]  
(5)

with \( K_f \) and \( K_s \) defined as the bulk modulus of porous skeleton and solid grains, respectively. The momentum balance equation for the water phase leads to the generalized form of the Darcy equation [26]:

\[ \mathbf{v}^{\text{m}} = \frac{K}{\mu_w} [-\nabla p_w + \rho_w \mathbf{b}] \]  

and the final form of the continuity equation for the water phase can be expressed as [26],

\[ \left[ \frac{(\alpha - n)}{K_s} + \frac{n}{K_w} \right] \frac{\partial p_w}{\partial t} + 2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_w + \nabla 
\cdot \left[ K ( - \nabla p_w + \rho_w (\mathbf{b})) \right] = 0 \]  
(7)

where \( K \) is the permeability tensor and \( \mu_w \) is the value of water viscosity. \( K_w \) and \( \mathbf{v}_w \) are defined as the bulk modulus and the Darcy velocity for the water phase, respectively.

The boundary conditions include the imposed tractions and water fluxes on the outward boundaries and the relevant prescribed essential boundary conditions for solid displacements and water pressures,

\[ u = u_0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_u \]  
\[ p_w = p_w^0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{p_w} \]  
\[ \sigma \cdot n_{\Gamma} = t \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_t \]  
\[ \mathbf{v}_w \cdot n_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{v}_w^0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{v}_w} \]  

(9)

Along with the external boundaries, extra conditions are satisfied at fracture surfaces to account for compatibility of transformed cohesive tractions with the cohesive zone model adopted for the governing mechanical behavior of the fracture and the role of fluid exchange through the crack faces. Assumption of a cohesive zone model leads to elimination of infinite stresses at the crack tips, which is more realistic for porous-like materials. In this model, the crack is assumed to be fully opened when the values of openings exceed the critical openings inferred from the governing interface law. The imposition of exchanging cohesive forces along the fracture surfaces is performed as an internal boundary condition. The cohesive zones are assumed to have a zero thickness across the crack face and the stresses are computed based on the traction-separation cohesive law. Water may flow freely through the fractured zone and the fracture walls act as permeable defects, which lead
to changes in the hydro-mechanical behavior of the defected zones. Flow of water through the fracture faces leads to discontinuous water pressure gradients (discontinuous water flows), whereas the water pressure is assumed to be constant and uniform across the crack width. For the mass transfer along the fracture, the continuity equation of the whole medium is linked with the balance of mass equation derived for a control volume of the fracture line [37]. In order to model the hydro-mechanical behavior of the fracture, the following additional internal boundary conditions are satisfied:

\[ \sigma \cdot n_f = f_c = f_d - 2 \sigma_p n_r, \quad \text{on} \: \Gamma_c \]

\[ [v_w] \cdot n_r = q_{wd} \quad \text{on} \: \Gamma_c \]

where \( n_r \) is the unit normal vector to the crack surface, \( f_d \) is the cohesive traction resulted from the cohesive law and \( \sigma_p \) is the value of water pressure imposed on the crack surface.

Different rules for the governing mechanical behavior of the cohesive crack are used in this paper. The linear, bi-linear and exponential non-linear traction-separation laws are depicted in Fig. 2. It is noted that without the loss of generality, only the effect of normal tractions is considered and exceeding the normal stress at the nearest point to the fracture tip from the tensile threshold of the material is used as the criterion for crack propagation. When a crack is perceived to be propagated, a predefined small crack length increment along the normal to the maximum hoop stress direction is added to the current crack configuration. Eq. (11) defines the angle of crack growth direction in terms of the stress intensity factors at a crack tip [61],

\[ \theta = 2 \arctan \left( \frac{K_t}{K_g} \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{K_t}{K_g} \right)^2 + 8} \right) \]

where the stress intensity factors \( K_t \) and \( K_g \) are computed based on the domain form of the interaction integral [11].

Next, the water flux exchange terms (10), introduced to the total continuity equation of water (7) to account for transmit of water through the fracture walls, are elaborated. Considering an arbitrary control volume of the fractured zone in a local coordinate system \( x' - y' \), in which the horizontal axis is placed along the fracture line, one can write the continuity equation for the water flow in an average sense:

\[ \int_{-h}^{h} \left[ \frac{1}{Q_{wd}} \frac{\partial \rho_w}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{p} n_r \right] dV = 0 \]

where \( 2h \) represents the value of crack opening. The water pressure is assumed to be constant across the fracture width, and linear variations for horizontal solid phase velocities are considered. Simplifying the gradients of water pressure and solid displacement time derivatives and inserting the Darcy Eq. (6) for water phase velocities, the final form of the expression for the exchanging flux term \( q_{wd} \) in (10) is obtained [37],

\[ q_{wd} = \frac{2h}{Q_{wd}} \frac{\partial P_w}{\partial x} + 2h \alpha \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_s}{\partial x} \]

\[ > - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( 2k_{wd} \frac{\partial P_w}{\partial x} \right) + \left( 2k_{wd} \frac{\partial P_w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) \]

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) and \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) represent the average and difference of variables at the two opposite sides of the crack, respectively. \( k_{wd} \) is the permeability tensor in the fractured region and \( q_{wd} \) represents the rate of water flux exchange between the damaged and undamaged surrounding media, obtained by the satisfaction of continuity equation for water flow through an arbitrary control volume in the fractured zone.

3. Numerical model

3.1. Weak formulation

In this section, the weak form of the governing equations is derived and discretization of the unknowns in the framework of the EFG meshfree method is developed using the MLS shape functions. As the MLS shape functions do not satisfy the Kronecker delta function property, which facilitates the imposition of essential boundaries, the Lagrange multipliers method is adopted to impose the essential boundary conditions. No gas pressure is present in the assumed saturated domain and the whole void space is filled with the liquid water. Solid displacements and water pressures are considered as the main unknowns, which is compatible with the governing equations of fully coupled porous media, discussed in the previous section.

Applying the weighted residual method to Eqs. (1) and (7), along with the corresponding boundary conditions of (9) and (10), the final constrained weak form of the governing equations are obtained:

\[ \int_{\Omega} \delta (L_s) \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0) d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_s} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{t}_d d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_s} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{f}_c d\Gamma = 0 \]

\[ \int_{\Omega} \delta (L_s) \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_s} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{t}_d d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_s} \delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{f}_c d\Gamma = 0 \]

Fig. 2. Linear, bilinear and exponential cohesive laws.
\[
\delta(u) \text{ and } \delta(p_w) \text{ represent the variations of solid displacement and water pressure, respectively, and act as the weighting functions in the proposed Galerkin weak form of the fully coupled saturated porous medium. Note that the last parts are introduced due to the enforcement of essential boundary conditions for solid displacements and water pressure in the context of the Lagrange multipliers method. The integrals on the crack surfaces } (\Gamma_c) \text{ are the interfacial terms resulted from the hydro-mechanical exchange of tractions and fluxes through the fracture walls. The differential operators } \mathbf{L}_u \text{ and } \mathbf{L}_p \text{ are defined as,}
\]
\[
\mathbf{L}_u = \left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{L}_p = \left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right]
\]
\[
(16)
\]

3.2. Numerical discretization and non-linear solution procedure

To capture discontinuities in solid and water pressure phases, enrichment of the local variables are written in the following format at an arbitrary point \(x\) of the domain,
\[
u^e(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{u}^{\text{std}}(x)u^e_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{w}^{\text{std}}(x)w^e_{i},
\]
\[
(17)
\]

where \(\phi_{u}^{\text{std}} \text{ and } \phi_{w}^{\text{std}}\) stand for the MLS shape functions for discretization of the solid displacements and water pressures, respectively. \(H(x)\) is the Heaviside enrichment function \((18)\) representing the discontinuous part of the displacement field. To account for continuous water pressure but with discontinuous normal gradients, \(Y_{p_w}(x)\) is selected as the modified distance enrichment function \((19)\), which also eliminates the loss of precision in the blending domain \((21)\),
\[
H(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 \text{ if } (x - x^T) \cdot n \geq 0 \\
0 \text{ otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
\[
(18)
\]
\[
Y_{p_w}(x) = \sum_i \phi_{p_w}^{\text{std}}(x) |z_i| = - \sum_i \phi_{p_w}^{\text{std}}(x) |z_i|.
\]
\[
(19)
\]

Insertion of the enriched approximation \((17)\) into the weak form equations of \((14)\) and \((15)\) results in the complete discretized set of constrained equations for the fully coupled saturated deforming porous medium,
\[
\int_{\Omega} B^{T} n^{e} \sigma d\Omega - C_{w} n^{e} p_{w}^{e} + f_{\text{coh}} = F_{u} - \left[ G^{T} p_{w}^{e} \right]
\]
\[
(20)
\]

which is naturally coupled with the following constraint equations for imposition of essential boundaries:
\[
U = G_u U^{e} + 1
\]
\[
P_w = G_{p_w} P_{w}^{e} + 1
\]
\[
(21)
\]

along with the following internal force and flux vectors,
\[
f_{\text{coh}} = \int_{\Gamma_c} [N_{u}^{T}] t_c d\Gamma_c
\]
\[
Q_{\text{mass}} = \int_{\Gamma_c} N_{p_w}^{T} q_w d\Gamma_c
\]
\[
(22)
\]

where
\[
K = \int_{\Omega} B_{u}^{T} G_{u} B_{u} d\Omega
\]
\[
C_{w} = \int_{\Omega} B_{u}^{T} G_{w} B_{u} d\Omega
\]
\[
C_{w} = \int_{\Omega} N_{p_w}^{T} G_{p_w} d\Omega
\]
\[
P_{w} = \int_{\Omega} N_{p_w}^{T} N_{p_w} d\Omega
\]
\[
H_{w} = \int_{\Omega} (N_{p_w} N_{p_w})^{T} k (N_{p_w} N_{p_w}) d\Omega
\]
\[
(G_u)_{ik} = - \int_{\Gamma_c} \phi_i^{u} N_k d\Gamma u
\]
\[
(G_{p_w})_{ik} = - \int_{\Gamma_c} \phi_i^{p_w} N_k d\Gamma_{p_w}
\]
\[
N_{k}(x) = \delta (x - x_k)
\]
\[
x_k \text{ is defined as the set of nodes placed along the essential boundaries and } m = [1 \ 1 \ 0]^T
\]
\[
B_u = [B_{u}^{\text{std}}, B_{u}^{H}]
\]
\[
N_u = [N_{u}^{\text{std}}, N_{u}^{H}]
\]
\[
N_{p_w} = [N_{p_w}^{\text{std}}, N_{p_w}^{H}]
\]
\[
(23)
\]

It is noted that the terms \(f_{\text{coh}}\) and \(Q_{\text{mass}}\) are line integrals along the crack faces, which are computed by the Gaussian quadrature rule. These terms introduce non-linearity to the system of equations which are solved through a suitable non-linear strategy. Assuming a linear variation for derivatives of unknown variables in time.
and using the Newton–Raphson algorithm, the final form of the discretized set of equations can be written as,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{R}^{i+1} & = \mathbf{KU}^{i+1} - \mathbf{CswP}^{i+1} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ coh}}^{i+1} + \mathbf{G}_{u}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{R}_{\text{pw}}^{i+1} & = \mathbf{C}_{ws} \left( \frac{\mathbf{U}^{n} - \mathbf{U}^{n}}{\Delta t} \right) + \mathbf{P}_{\text{pw}}^{i+1} \left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_{w}^{i+1} - \mathbf{P}_{w}^{i+1}}{\Delta t} \right) + \mathbf{H}_{\text{pw}}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{R}_{\text{pp}}^{i+1} & = \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{G}_{0} \mathbf{U}^{n} - \mathbf{R}_{\text{pw}}^{i+1} \mathbf{P}_{w} - \mathbf{G}_{p} \mathbf{P}_{w}^{i+1}
\end{align*}
\]

and the unknown and residual vectors can be expressed by:

\[
\mathbf{X}^{i+1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{U}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{P}_{w}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{J}_{u}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{J}_{p}^{i+1}
\end{array} \right\} \quad \mathbf{R}^{i+1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{R}_{u}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{R}_{w}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{R}_{w}^{i+1} \\
\mathbf{R}_{p}^{i+1}
\end{array} \right\}
\]

Differentiating the residual with respect to the unknowns vector \( \mathbf{X} \), gives the Jacobian matrix for the constrained system of equations,

\[
\mathbf{J} = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{K} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{\text{ coh}}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} & -\mathbf{C}_{sw} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}_{\text{ coh}}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} & \mathbf{G}_{u}^{T} & 0 \\
\mathbf{C}_{ws} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{\text{mass}}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} & \mathbf{P}_{\text{sw}} + \mathbf{H}_{\text{sw}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{\text{mass}}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbf{G}_{p} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\mathbf{G}_{p} & 0
\end{array} \right]
\]

The following fully coupled nonlinear system of equations should then be solved at each time step to compute the vector of unknown increments,

\[
\mathbf{R}^{i+1} = \mathbf{R}^{i+1} + \mathbf{J} \frac{d\mathbf{X}^{i+1}}{d\mathbf{X}^{i+1}} \mathbf{X}^{i+1} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{d\mathbf{X}^{i+1}}{d\mathbf{X}^{i+1}} = -\mathbf{J}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{i+1}.
\]
in which $R_{i n+1}^{n-1}$ is given in (25) and is computed as:

$$R_{i n+1}^{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{i n+1} \\ P_{i n+1} \\ w_{i n+1} \\ u_{i n+1} \\ p_{i n+1} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} F_u \\ F_w \\ U \\ F_w \end{bmatrix} - P^n$$

where $P^n$ is the value of converged solution from the last time step of analysis:

$$P^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ C_{ww} \left( \frac{F_u}{F_w} \right) + P_{ww} \left( \frac{F_u}{F_w} \right) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, the unknowns vector is updated at each iteration until the required convergence criterion is satisfied:

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{i+1,n+1} \\ P_{i+1,n+1} \\ w_{i+1,n+1} \\ u_{i+1,n+1} \\ p_{i+1,n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{i,n+1} \\ P_{i,n+1} \\ w_{i,n+1} \\ u_{i,n+1} \\ p_{i,n+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} dU_{i+1,n+1} \\ dP_{i+1,n+1} \\ dw_{i+1,n+1} \\ du_{i+1,n+1} \\ dp_{i+1,n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Numerical simulations

4.1. Cohesive crack propagation in a dry domain

In the first set of numerical solutions, it is assumed that no fluid phase is present in the analysis. The developed computer implementation is then verified by problems of cohesive crack propagation under pure mode I and mixed mode conditions. In the numerical simulations of this paper, an appropriate estimate for
Fig. 9. (a) Vertical stress along the centerline of the beam, (b) deformed mesh and cohesive regions for different stages of crack propagation (linear cohesive law). Crack steps (I, II, III) are depicted in Fig. 8.

Fig. 10. Crack path and load–displacement curve for the mixed mode state of the double cantilever beam.

Fig. 11. Deformed mesh and the mixed mode crack paths, and the extents of cohesive zones are shown with the filled parts. Crack steps (A, B) are depicted in Fig. 10.
the size of the support domain has been numerically determined from a sensitivity analysis on several examples to ensure both optimum run-times and generation of smooth gradient distributions. This value is found to be 1.4 times the average size of nodal spacings. Also, for all the numerical experiments, a linear basis function is predefined along a vertical path, as shown in Fig. 3.

4.1.1. Three-point-bending specimen

This problem has been solved extensively by various authors [6,61,62] using the conventional FEM and the extended finite element analysis (XFEM). A simply supported beam of dimensions 600 × 150 × 50 mm is considered. Horizontal displacements are eliminated by fixing the left edge along the x direction, as shown in Fig. 3. The problem is solved with the Poisson’s ratio of 0.1 and the Young’s modulus of 36500 MPa in the plane strain condition. The linear cohesive law with \( f_t = 3.19 \text{ MPa} \) and the fracture energy is equal to 0.095 N/mm for the linear cohesive law, while for the bi-linear cohesive law: \( a_1 = 1 \text{ MPa}, a_2 = 0.008 \text{ mm} \) and \( w_c = 0.07 \text{ mm} \) (see Fig. 2).

The predicted load–displacement curves for the two cohesive laws are depicted in Fig. 8, which show a good agreement with the reference results reported by Zi and Belytschko [13]. Fig. 9 shows variations of vertical stress \( \sigma_{yy} \) along the crack line, obtained by the linear and bi-linear cohesive laws at three different steps of crack propagation. This figure further compares the effect of different cohesive laws on the stress state along the fracture line. In addition, the deformed configurations illustrate the extent of cohesive zones (filled area) at three different steps of the crack propagation.

Finally, the mixed mode cohesive crack propagation is analyzed by moving upward the notch 2 mm from the centerline of the beam. As a result, the crack path is expected to curve towards the upper edge of the plate. Also in order to prevent the beam from rotations, the right edge is fully clamped. In this case, the nodal arrangement of 120 × 60 is used. The resulted crack path and load–deflection curve are shown in Fig. 10, which verifies the capability of the developed XFEM algorithm in reproducing the results of a mixed mode cohesive crack propagation. Fig. 11, shows the deformed mesh and the extent of cohesive process zones at different stages of the mixed mode crack propagation for the linear cohesive law.

4.2. Cohesive crack propagation with coupling active water pressure

In this section, the full effect of coupling between solid and liquid phases is considered. Two distinct cases are studied in the numerical analysis: one without the mass exchange along the crack walls and the other with introducing the coupling term [13].
Fig. 13. Water pressures at different times of the analysis for the mode I cohesive crack propagation in the saturated porous material with no coupling term (values in MPa).

Fig. 14. Vertical gradients of the water pressure for the mode I problem with no coupling term (values in MPa/mm).
4.2.1. Mode I cohesive crack test

In this example, the cohesive crack test in a porous medium is considered. This problem was previously solved in [37–39] using the extended finite element method. An square plate with dimensions 250 × 250 mm and an initial notch of 50 mm length along the centerline is considered. Upper and lower edges of the domain are stretched with a fixed vertical velocity $u_y = 2.35 \times 10^{-3}$ mm/s, while the horizontal displacements are fully constrained. Geometry and the boundary conditions are depicted in Fig. 12. The problem is solved for two different states: full coupling of fluid phase and with no fluid coupling involved. In the former case, the effect of mass coupling fluxes are considered along the whole fracture length.

Material properties for this problem are summarized in Table 1. Fixed displacements are exerted as a prescribed linear displacement in time. Normal stresses at the crack tip are checked in each time step of analysis and a new crack segment is introduced if this value exceeds the tensile threshold of the material ($f_t$). The problem is solved with the time step value of 0.05 s, and analysis is continued until the cohesive fracture propagates and reaches to the right edge. A plane strain condition is assumed and a uniform set of 60 × 60 nodal arrangement is used.

For the case I, where no mass exchange along the fracture walls is assumed, Fig. 13 shows the value of water pressures at four different time steps of the analysis, while Fig. 14 shows the corresponding values for the vertical gradients of water pressure. It is clearly observed that in the absence of any coupling term, distribution of the water pressure in the fractured medium is the same as an intact medium; the water flows through the fracture as it does in the bulk material.

The deformed mesh and the developed cohesive zone regions are depicted in Fig. 15, Fig. 16 shows the value of vertical and horizontal stress distributions in the final time step of the analysis, clearly showing that even when the crack is fully developed in the domain, crack walls remain closed and still transfer the vertical stresses.

Next, the effect of interfacial coupling terms are assessed. Values of water pressure and the corresponding vertical gradients are depicted in Figs. 17 and 18. It is clear that as the flux terms are integrated and imposed on the crack interface integral points, highly concentrated values for the water pressure at the fracture line with discontinuous vertical gradients are generated. Introduction of the modified distance function (19) results in a discontinuity in water velocity field (first gradients of the water pressures normal to the fracture) along the fracture sides, as shown in Fig. 18. Also, it is noted that in contrast to [37], both the initial notch and the developed cohesive parts are assumed to be involved with the mass exchange.
Fig. 17. Water pressure for the mode I case with mass exchange across the fracture walls (values in MPa).

Fig. 18. Vertical water pressure gradients for the Mode I case with mass exchange across the fracture walls (values in MPa/mm).
The high value of water pressure along the fracture surfaces leads to an increase in the load bearing capacity of the fracturing porous domain. In fact, the fracture walls are compressed due to significant water pressures for the mass exchange case. This effect can be observed in the load–deflection curves of Fig. 19, where the two cases with no coupling and with full coupling terms are compared.

The crack length histories for the current propagation test using different nodal arrangements are depicted in Fig. 20a, which shows the insensitivity of the results. Also, the convergence of the crack path histories is observed in Fig. 20b, which suggests that in the case of full coupling, the crack is initiated, propagated and reached to the right edge of the domain slightly later than the case of no mass exchange coupling. This behavior was also reported in [39].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material properties for the L-shaped panel.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid bulk modulus (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid bulk modulus (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water viscosity (MPa s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic permeability of water (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biot’s coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture energy (N/mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 19. Load–displacement curves.

Fig. 20. (a) Comparison of crack length histories for different nodal densities. (b) The effect of coupling on crack path histories – convergence difficulties are encountered for k ≤ 2.78 × 10^{-10} m².

Fig. 21. L-shaped panel geometry and enriched nodes – unstructured mesh.
however the differences might not be substantial for the material properties used in the present analysis. It is expected that for smaller values of permeability, this effect can become significant and even lead to convergence difficulties for extremely small values of permeabilities, where high values of water pressure is exerted on the crack surfaces.

4.2.2. Mixed mode crack propagation in saturated porous media – L-shaped panel

For the final numerical example, a mixed mode cohesive crack propagation with a more complex geometry is analyzed. It is selected based on the experiments conducted by Winkler on concrete materials [63] and later assessed numerically by Unger.
et al. [64] and Dumstorff and Meschke [18] using the extended finite element method. An L-shaped panel, shown in Fig. 21, with dimensions of $L = D = 250$ mm and the thickness of 100 mm is considered. The bottom edge is fixed in all directions while prescribed vertical displacements are imposed on a point placed at 30 mm from the left side of the panel, as depicted in Fig. 21. The problem is solved for an increasing prescribed displacement with a constant rate in time. No initial crack is present and it is deemed that the crack nucleates from the corner joint within the panel when the principal tensile stress exceeds the tensile strength of the material.

The basic experimental tests by Winkler [63] were performed on dry concrete with no water pressure, but here, the effect of filling water phase in the void volume of the specimen is included. It is assumed that the specimen is totally saturated with water. Then, the boundaries are made impermeable and a displacement control loading is applied until the cohesive fracture is fully developed through the specimen. The basic experimental material properties are $E = 25850$ MPa and $v = 0.18$, but in the numerical analysis, Unger et al. [64] proposed to adopt the modified material properties listed in Table 2 in order to match the corresponding experimental load–displacement curves. The value of the time step is taken as 0.1 s. The crack trajectory and the standard and enriched set of nodes along with the imposed boundaries of the problem are shown in Fig. 21.

Fig. 22 shows the load–displacement curves for the case of no fluid coupling along the fracture walls. Similar to [62,64], an exponentially decaying non-linear cohesive law with $f_t = 2.7$ MPa and $G_f = 0.13$ N/mm and $K_p = 25 \times 10^4$ N are used in the present XEFG analysis. A good agreement is observed between the present results and the reference experiments conducted by Winkler [63] and the results reported by Unger et al. [64]. It is noted that these results are produced without considering any coupling term along the fracture line, which confirms that for this case, inclusion of fluid–solid coupling does not change the overall mechanical behavior of the specimen, as similarly reported in [37]. Fig. 23 shows the corresponding deformed configuration in three steps of the crack propagation.

Fig. 24 shows the distribution of water pressure and its vertical gradients at the last step of the analysis, in which the crack is fully developed through the medium. This figure clearly shows that the water pressure distribution is not affected by the discontinuity line in the case that mass coupling is not activated.

To assess the effect of coupling in the analysis, the same problem is solved by considering the full coupling terms, as introduced in Eq. (13). Fig. 25 compares the values resulted from the two cases of analysis, clearly indicating that a higher loading capacity is achieved at the post peak stages of loading in the case of full mass exchange. Fig. 26 displays the contours of water pressure at different times of the analysis for the case of full mass transfer coupling (values in MPa).
different stages of the analysis, while Fig. 27 shows the corresponding values for the vertical gradient, which becomes discontinuous across the crack surface.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, cohesive crack propagation was assessed through an extrinsically enrichment strategy based on the partition of unity property of MLS shape functions in the context of the element-free Galerkin method. Different problems in single and saturated fully coupled porous media were analyzed by the developed XEFG strategy and good agreement with the reference solutions were reported. Crack lengths were modeled with the Heaviside enrichment functions and the water pressure was enriched with the use of modified distance functions to reproduce discontinuous gradients fields across the crack width. It was observed that neglecting the coupling exchanging terms through the fracture walls would not differ the overall response and the water would flow through the specimen most likely similar to the non-fractured case. However, considering the coupling terms could dramatically change the overall response of the system, both in terms of the mechanical strength and values of water pressure in the media. Problems involving pure mode I and mixed mode fracture in single and fully saturated media considering no couplings and fully coupling along the overall response of the system, both in terms of the mechanical strength and values of water pressure in the media. Problems involving pure mode I and mixed mode fracture in single and fully saturated media considering no couplings and fully coupling along the fracture length were analyzed and the efficiency of the current strategy in handling permeable cohesive cracks were examined.
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